	Case 1:22-cv-00182-JLT-BAM Documer	nt 11 Filed 05/16/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JESUS RAMIREZ,	No. 1:22-cv-00182-JLT-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS ACTION, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER AND
13	V.	
14	CDCR,	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
15	Defendant.	(Doc. 10)
16		
17	Plaintiff Jesus Ramirez is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On February 15, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued an order directing Plaintiff to	
21	submit a completed application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> or pay the \$402.00 filing fee to	
22	proceed with this action. (Doc. 7.) Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply with the court's	
23	order would result in dismissal of this action. ( <i>Id.</i> ) Plaintiff did respond to the Court's order or	
24	otherwise communicate with the Court.  Therefore, on April 18, 2022, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations.	
25	Therefore, on April 18, 2022, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
26 27	recommending dismissal of this action, without prejudice, for failure to obey a court order and failure to prosecute this action. (Doc. 10.) Those findings and recommendations were served on	
28	Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen days	
20	1 familiar and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be fried within rotateen days	

## after service. (*Id.* at 3.) No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has now passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Based on the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**: 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 18, 2022, (Doc. 10), are adopted in full; 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to obey a court order and failure to prosecute; and 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Punity L. Thus Ted states district Dated: May 15, 2022

Case 1:22-cv-00182-JLT-BAM Document 11 Filed 05/16/22 Page 2 of 2